



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Print Post Publication Number 10000815

Vol. 53 No. 38

29<sup>th</sup> September 2017

## IN THIS ISSUE

Top 10 moments of marriage madness you must remember when voting By Bernard Gaynor	1
Target for the Week	4

## TOP 10 MOMENTS OF MARRIAGE MADNESS YOU MUST REMEMBER WHEN VOTING By Bernard Gaynor

Ref: <http://bernardgaynor.com.au/top-10-moments-marriage-madness-must-remember-voting/>

Most Australians don't really give two hoots about homosexual marriage. They'd rather the government sorted out the problems and pricing of (*electrical-ed*) power. But, instead, the nation has virtually been driven to a standstill for the rainbow regiment.

An issue that is simply not on the radar for most Australians now dominates media, politics and even business.

**The strategy is simple: harass, harangue and hector voters until they simply give up in despair and wearily vote yes, if only to buy a little peace and quiet. However, Australians should realise that the longed-for serenity is only an illusion.**

There have been ten key moments of marriage madness since the postal vote was announced. Nine of them outline the 'politically-correct' culture that comes with a 'Yes' vote. **This brutal culture will be emboldened, legitimised and strengthened – in law – if the 'Yes' campaign succeeds.** These nine moments show that the peace Australians are buying is not silence, but rather the requirement to remain silent.

**And the tenth moment is the scariest of all.** Because there is a flipside to the coin that demands your silence; **it comes with the demand that this silence be filled with the din of the activists.** They are determined to ensure that the next campaign is one in which they suffer no interruption or objection. This is the package deal that comes with 'marriage equality'. A 'Yes' vote will do nothing more than kick off the next round of hectoring, harassing and haranguing culture wars – the same wars that Australians are already hoping will go away.

So here we go: the ten key moments of marriage madness thus far in this 'debate'.

**1. It's a David v Goliath battle:** Right from the start, this battle has been uneven. The 'No' case faces an uphill battle, while the 'Yes' case has the support of the elites. Both the Australian Medical Association and the New South Wales Law Society have declared support for homosexual marriage, despite failing to consult their members and contrary to their wishes. The AMA even went so far as to publish a statement that claimed its position was based on medical evidence – only to have that evidence shot down in flames and shown to be false and deliberately misleading. Big business has joined the push filling the coffers of the activists, led by a personal \$1million donation from Qantas CEO, Alan Joyce. He is also happy to support 'marriage equality' in his position as CEO. Noticeably, no junior Qantas employees believe they have the same freedom to speak their support for the 'No' case.

Defence is supporting organisations promoting homosexual marriage equality. The City of Sydney and the ACT government have donated taxpayer funds only to the 'Yes' campaign. And across the nation helplines have been established to 'counsel' public servants dealing the one-sided debate in favour of 'marriage equality'. Of course, the media has enthusiastically supported the idea of revolutionising marriage. ABC journalists have thumbed their nose at directives to report this issue fairly. Even pro 'same-sex marriage' commentators have been savaged for not being supportive enough. And both Malcolm Turnbull and Bill Shorten are pushing the 'Yes' case.

**That's a David v Goliath battle. It's also a pretty clear message that the elites think ordinary Australians should vote their way...**

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

**2. Australians can't be trusted:** Though the 'No' campaign may enter this survey as underdogs, even that was not good enough for the activists. If they got their way, ordinary Australians would not get a say on marriage at all.

Those pushing to radically redefine marriage were desperate to halt a people's vote. Despite claims that the overwhelming majority of Australians supported change, Labor, the Greens and Xenophon blocked the plebiscite in parliament. Twice.

And then the activists went to the High Court in another attempt to shut it down, amid the echoes of Bill Shorten's description that supporters of traditional marriage were 'haters'.

Why this desperation from the 'Yes' campaign? Well, that's simple. Advocates for 'marriage equality' are concerned that Australians just might not actually vote for it. So they tried to stop the vote altogether...

**3. Eradicate Father's Day:** Father's Day was one of the first casualties of the marriage postal vote survey. A commercial celebrating this day was banned for being 'too political'. **Ref:** <https://youtu.be/11aTJtaT2uk>

Free TV Australia declined to run the advertisement because it may 'influence a certain outcome' in the postal vote. The implication is clear: if Father's Day advertising is banned during a debate for 'marriage equality', Father's Day itself will be eradicated for causing offence if the laws change. So will Mother's Day.

Instead, we'll face a push for genderless days to recognise 'Partner 1' and 'Partner 2'. And 'Partner 3' who donated the eggs...

**4. A cavalcade of #@\*%!:** 'Father's Day' may have become a four letter word no longer used in 'elite' society. But the elites have replaced this word with others. First off the rank was Tim Minchin. He lovingly called Australians who vote no 'c\*\*\*\*'. And he was followed by Benjamin Law – an activist who has just written a 25,000 word article on why the 'Safe Schools' program should be implemented in every school in Australia.

His contribution to the debate:

*Sometimes find myself wondering if I'd hate-fuck all the anti-gay MPs in parliament if it meant they got the homophobia out of their system.*

— Benjamin Law (@mrbenjaminlaw) August 31, 2017

Law went on to claim that a 'hate f\*\*\*' was a Gen Y term for 'consensual sex with someone who is disagreeable'.

It's a new age way of describing rape and it's being rolled out in support of the 'Yes' campaign...

**5. Deregistering doctors:** The first rule of this campaign is that if you dare to defend traditional marriage, your job security will be challenged.

At the beginning of the campaign, the High Court upheld a ruling that workers could be sacked for expressing personal views contrary to 'cultural change' agendas in the workplace. And after the first 'No' campaign commercial aired, there was an immediate push to have one of the mothers who appeared in it, Dr Pansy Lai, deregistered.

A GetUp! petition was launched which soon received over 6,000 signatures and it outlined the case against her well:

*"It is clear that Dr Pansy Lai has misused her privileged position as a medical practitioner in the harmful and hateful 'no' campaign..."*

If 'marriage equality' passes, this type of bullying will only become more frequent. And activists won't have to pull back because the bad press is losing votes...

**6. All the news that's fake to print:** The 'Yes' campaign's bullying was not supposed to be part of the narrative of 'marriage equality'. It was the traditional supporter of marriage who was supposed to be responsible for self-harm among the LGBT community. The only problem, however, was that there was simply



no vitriol from the 'No' campaign. So fake-news stepped in to fill the void. Channel 10 photo-shopped images of an alleged poster that no one had actually seen onto a stock photo

of a bus stop billboard and ran the line that the 'hate campaign' was in full swing. It was a great story until it became so obvious that it was fake that even the ABC had to admit it:

**7. It's not about Safe Schools – except when it is:** The 'No' campaign has been labelled as hateful and irrelevant for expressing concern about the impact of 'marriage equality', including on the education system. It seems rather logical that if the nation recognises homosexual marriage in order to end discrimination, then sex education within schools will also need to do



the same. And that's exactly what the Safe Schools program seeks to do.

(continued on next page)

(continued from previous page)

The 'Yes' campaign has sought to avoid this issue by claiming that Safe Schools has nothing to do with the push for 'marriage equality'. And then at 'marriage equality' rallies across the country on Sunday, marches were led off by banners supporting 'Safe Schools'.

This was followed by an article from the nuanced and articulate Benjamin Law that called for the 'Safe Schools' program to be implemented in every Australian school.

He wrote:

*"Here's the uncomfortable reality: parents don't always know best."*

Safe Schools is only part of the marriage agenda when the activists want it to be – and then it's used to remove parental rights...

**8. From dies to lies:** The radical homosexual marriage activists also shut down a meeting for parents in Brisbane late last week. Its topic: Safe Schools.

Apparently, despite claims that Safe Schools has nothing to do with 'marriage equality', we are not even allowed to talk about this program anymore – a program so secretive that the Queensland government refuses to disclose which schools have implemented it.

If it's bad enough that ordinary citizens can no longer meet in peace (the meeting was cancelled to avoid a confrontation with protesters), it's even worse that activists then claimed to the media that they were targeted by cars driven at full speed towards them.

This is what was reported on Friday morning:

*"I suffered an injury because people drove their cars nearly at full speed into the yes campaigners here today," she told Nine's Today.*

*"It was extremely scary, extremely irresponsible, and police actually tried to help the people in the cars get through the crowd of protesters."*

The story ran for 24 hours, painting supporters of traditional marriage as car-wielding maniacs ready to rain down death and destruction on the oppressed 'marriage equality' advocates. But the true picture emerged a day later. Unfortunately, by that time the story had run and not many agencies were prepared to correct it:

*Footage from the protest, outside St Michael's Church in the Brisbane suburb of Ashgrove, shows a car blocked from entering a driveway by about 20 protesters, before police officers move one of them to the back of the crowd. "We monitored it, but nothing really came out of it," a police spokesman said.*

*A Queensland Ambulance spokeswoman said the service received triple-0 calls from the protest for an "alleged assault" of a woman but she declined treatment or transport.*

**9. Don't mention the war:** The 'No' campaign are branded as homophobes with murderous intent. But it is actually the headquarters of the Australian Christian Lobby that has been blown up by a homosexual marriage activist, according to court documents. As the postal survey campaign began this story was quietly unfolding in a Canberra courthouse, although it has since received scant attention:

*The man accused of driving a burning van laden with gas bottles into the Australian Christian Lobby headquarters was a gay activist who disliked the group because of its "position on sexuality" and had searched online how to make plastic explosives and a pressure-cooker bomb. Court documents tendered to the ACT Magistrates Court yesterday reveal Jaden Duong had also run searches about gay marriage in other countries and, a month before the alleged attack at 10.45pm on December 21 last year, had searched for the "Australian Christian Lobby".*

Unfortunately, a million media hours focusing on a fake car rampage in Ashgrove will outdo the truth that a homosexual activist allegedly managed to blow up the headquarters of the Australian Christian Lobby. When it comes to 'marriage equality', the truth does not matter at all...

**10. This obsessive tyranny is just the start:** All the examples above go to the heart and culture of the 'marriage equality'. But this next one goes to what comes next: a full scale cultural war on pronouns, gender and the remaining notion of a traditional family unit. Benjamin Law wrote in his essay on Safe Schools:

*"...it might be stating the obvious but same sex marriage is far from the final frontier in the battle against homophobia..."*

It's a warning shot across the bows. After 'marriage equality', much more is to come. It's exactly what the Greens are saying here:

<https://greens.org.au/magazine/national/beyond-marriage-equality>

And the Greens have been pushing and prodding us into this debate for two decades. Now they have won over Labor and even the Liberal Prime Minister, Malcolm Turnbull. They won't stop now.

And Labor has already revealed plans to widen the scope of 18C to silence speech critical of homosexual marriage.

Given all of this, and the fact that we do not even know what the proposed law will be, vote no.

**That's the only way you'll buy some peace from this radical and revolutionary cultural war...** \*\*\*



## OUR POLICY

To promote service to the Christian revelation of God, loyalty to the Australian Constitutional Monarchy, and maximum co-operation between subjects of the Crown Commonwealth of Nations.

To defend the free Society and its institutions -- private property, consumer control of production through genuine competitive enterprise, and limited decentralised government.

To promote financial policies which will reduce taxation, eliminate debt, and make possible material security for all with greater leisure time for cultural activities.

To oppose all forms of monopoly, either described as public or private. To encourage all electors always to record a responsible vote in all elections.

To support all policies genuinely concerned with conserving and protecting natural resources, including the soil, and an environment reflecting natural (God's) laws, against policies of rape and waste.

To oppose all policies eroding national sovereignty, and to promote a closer relationship between the peoples of the Crown Commonwealth and those of the United States of America, who share a common heritage.

## BULK ORDERS OF THIS PAMPHLET

Actionists may request bulk orders of this pamphlet through Head Office for local distribution.

Telephone: 08 8387 6574 email: [heritagebooks@alor.org](mailto:heritagebooks@alor.org)  
HO Hours during the campaign - Mon-Fri. 9.00am - 3.00pm

## AUSTRALIAN LEAGUE OF RIGHTS NATIONAL WEEKEND 14 & 15 OCTOBER 2017

Public Schools Club  
207 East Terrace,  
Adelaide, SA 5000  
Ph: (08) 8223 3213

**ACCOMMODATION** : The following accommodation addresses are within reasonable motoring distance.

**Public Schools Club**, 207 East Tce, Ph: 8223 3213  
**Chifley**, 226 South Tce. Ph. 8223 4355  
**Country Comfort**, 215 South Tce. Ph. 8223 2800  
**Rydges**, 1 South Tce. Ph. 08 8216 0300

BOOKINGS FOR THE SEMINAR DIRECT TO  
**Head Office**: Ph 08 8387 6574 - M 0415 527 121  
[heritagebooks@alor.org](mailto:heritagebooks@alor.org)

## TARGET FOR THE WEEK

We can presume the 'firmly decided' people for both the Yes and No votes in the SSM Survey will have voted promptly. Those not quite sure may appreciate your opinion.

The Yes campaigners portray the SSM matter as simply a case of legally permitting two people of the same gender to 'marry'. It is much more complex. The evidence from other countries where SSM occurs shows many more unfolding issues. Also the Australian Greens have admitted that SSM will be just the first step in many changes to follow.

Send another letter, if you have already, to your local and daily papers for your area and also any social media, including the 'talkback radio you are involved with' to assist those making a late decision. It is very important to maintain pressure over the coming weeks. We should note what the other side says and observe events in other countries. Use 'their own words' back at them.

Senator Cory Bernardi has recently made reference to the "wear a dress day" for male students and teachers alike. Malcolm Turnbull admitted that he could not guarantee freedom of speech or religion if the laws on marriage are changed.

**Copy this newsletter and distribute in your area!**

**Encourage all the people you have contact with to retain the current marriage laws by voting NO to SSM.**  
-- ND



**THE LEAGUE'S WEBSITE: — [alor.org](http://alor.org)**

[blog.alor.org](http://blog.alor.org) [thecross-roads.org](http://thecross-roads.org)

**Subscription to On Target \$45.00 p.a.**

**NewTimes Survey \$30.00 p.a.**

and **Donations** can be performed by **bank transfer**:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)  
BSB 105-044  
A/c No. 188-040-840

or by cheques directed to:

'Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)'

or on the [Veritasbooks.com.au](http://Veritasbooks.com.au) website:

<https://veritasbooks.com.au/cat/subscriptions>

"On Target" is printed and authorised by K. W. Grundy.  
13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.

**Postal Address**: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

**Telephone**: 08 8387 6574 **email**: [heritagebooks@alor.org](mailto:heritagebooks@alor.org)

**Head Office Hours** - Mon., Tues., Wed. 09.00am - 3.00pm